UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/580,796	03/16/2007	Shutaro Nakanishi	0002936USU/3105	7232	
	27623 7590 08/06/2008 OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, LLP			EXAMINER	
ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, 10TH FLOOR			CHRISS, JENNIFER A		
STAMFORD, CT 06901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/06/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/580,796	NAKANISHI, SHUTARO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JENNIFER A. CHRISS	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 № 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under N	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrate 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	awn from consideration.				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to lead to lead accepted or b)⊡ objected to lead and accepted to lead accepted in a section is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to lead accepted to lead acce	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/16/2007 07/10/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/580,796 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Takeuchi et al. (US 4,510,201).

Takeuchi et al. is directed to a polyvinyl chloride resinous molded sheet (Title) suitable for floor coverings (column 11, lines 35 – 52).

As to claim 1, Takeuchi et al. teach a polyvinyl chloride resinous composition applied to a core layer made of a synthetic or glass fiber non-woven fabric (column 5, lines 15 - 34). The PVC resinous composition comprises a PVC paste resin, a cellular filler, a plasticizer, thermal stabilizer and optionally a diluent (column 5, lines 58 - 69). The cellular filler can comprise a wide range of materials including microballoons made of synthetic resins, pearlite, silica, sand, volcanic rock, etc. (column 4, lines 10 - 45) and has an average size ranging from 1.0 to 2000 microns (column 5, lines 15 – 34). Although not preferred by Takeuchi et al., the reference notes that the cellular filler can

Art Unit: 1794

be broken when in the polyvinyl chloride mixture (column 3, lines 29 – 50). The Examiner equates the cellular filler to Applicant's "particulate material" and the non-woven fabric to Applicant's "spread mat".

As to claim 2, Takeuchi et al. teach that the cellular filler can comprise filler made of material such as phenolic resin, polyvinylidene chloride, epoxy and urea resin (column 4, lines 23 – 35).

Absent a showing to the contrary, it is the examiner's position that the article of the applied prior art is identical to or only slightly different than the claimed article. In this case, Takeuchi et al. teaches a coated mat where the coating comprises the claimed materials and containing a crushed particulate material. Even though product-byprocess claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). The burden has been shifted to the applicant to show unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 289 (Fed. Cir. 1983). The applied prior art either anticipated or strongly suggested the claimed subject matter. It is noted that if the applicant intends to rely on Examples in the specification or in a submitted declaration to show non-obviousness, the applicant should clearly state how the Examples of the present invention are commensurate in

Application/Control Number: 10/580,796 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

scope with the claims and how the Comparative Examples are commensurate in scope with the applied prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JENNIFER A. CHRISS whose telephone number is (571)272-7783. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 6 p.m., first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. A. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794

> /Jennifer A Chriss/ Examiner, Art Unit 1794